[NO MINUTES WERE GENERATED FOR THURSDAY, JUNE 3, 2010.]

963

SUPREME COURT MINUTES FRIDAY, JUNE 4, 2010 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S062417

PEOPLE v. SILVERIA (DANIEL TODD) & TRAVIS (JOHN RAYMOND)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender John Fresquez's representation that he anticipates filing appellant Daniel Todd Silveria's opening brief by early September 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 9, 2010. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S072161

PEOPLE v. POTTS (THOMAS)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Michael P. Goldstein's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by March 15, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 6, 2010. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 220 additional days are contemplated.

S081918

PEOPLE v. McKINZIE (KENNETH)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Gregory L. Cannon's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by April 1, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 19, 2010. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 255 additional days are contemplated.

S087533

PEOPLE v. POPS (ASWAD) & WILSON (BYRON)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Joseph E. Chabot's representation that he anticipates filing appellant Byron Wilson's opening brief by March 7, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 6, 2010. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 220 additional days will be granted.

S087560

PEOPLE v. NADEY, JR., (GILES ALBERT)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Christopher Johns's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by July 15, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 9, 2010. After that date, only six further extensions totaling about 340 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S093944

PEOPLE v. BERTSCH (JOHN ANTHONY) & HRONIS (JEFFERY LEE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant John Anthony Bertsch and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 26, 2010.

S099274

PEOPLE v. BROOKS (DONALD LEWIS)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel John L. Staley's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by June 24, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 24, 2010. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S099549

PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (MICHAEL AUGUSTINE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender Evan Young's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by August 13, 2010,

counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 13, 2010. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S103358

PEOPLE v. BARRERA (MARCO ESQUIVEL)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Ellen J. Eggers's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by July 1, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 2, 2010. After that date, only six further extensions totaling about 330 additional days are contemplated.

S128402

PEOPLE v. DONALDSON (JASARI LATIFUL)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to August 9, 2010.

S140140

ELLIOT (MICHAEL LEE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel James M. Crawford's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by May 28, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to July 27, 2010. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 300 additional days are contemplated.

S179042

RUSH (ROBERT D.) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of the Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to July 2, 2010.

S182508 A123726 First Appellate District, Div. 4

SEABRIGHT INSURANCE COMPANY v. U.S. AIRWAYS, INC. (LUJAN)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is hereby extended to June 8, 2010.

S058157

PEOPLE v. PEARSON (MICHAEL NEVAIL)

Order filed

Good cause appearing, appellant's "Application for Permission to File an Overlength Supplemental Reply Brief" is granted.

S062417

PEOPLE v. SILVERIA (DANIEL TODD) & TRAVIS (JOHN RAYMOND)

Order filed

Good cause appearing, appellant Daniel Todd Silveria's "Request for Permission to File Appellant's Opening Brief Which Exceeds the 102,000 Word Limit" is granted.

S181824

HAZELKORN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that IRA DAVID HAZELKORN, State Bar Number 75607, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. IRA DAVID HAZELKORN must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S181843

GILL ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JAGROOP SINGH GILL, State Bar Number 113696, is suspended from the practice of law in California for six months, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. JAGROOP SINGH GILL is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;

- 2. JAGROOP SINGH GILL must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on January 22, 2010; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JAGROOP SINGH GILL has complied with all conditions of probation, the six-month period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

JAGROOP SINGH GILL must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S182213

RODRIGUEZ ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that STEPHEN ADRIAN RODRIGUEZ, State Bar Number 219019, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. STEPHEN ADRIAN RODRIGUEZ is suspended from the practice of law for the first eight months of probation;
- 2. STEPHEN ADRIAN RODRIGUEZ must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on February 11, 2010; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if STEPHEN ADRIAN RODRIGUEZ has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

STEPHEN ADRIAN RODRIGUEZ must comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2011, 2012, and 2013. If STEPHEN ADRIAN RODRIGUEZ fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S182963

FERLIN ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JOHN ROYSE FERLIN, State Bar Number 53511, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

A127444

First Appellate District, Div. 2

KARLS (JOHN S.) v. BANK OF NEW YORK

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, is transferred from Division Two to Division Three.